



THE COMPLIANCE & ETHICS FORUM
FOR LIFE INSURERS



2015 CEFLI SARs BENCHMARKING SURVEY EXECUTIVE SUMMARY



Introduction3

Purpose.....3

Methodology4

Key Findings5

Summary.....5



Introduction

CEFLI is pleased to provide the Executive Summary of the results of its 2015 Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs) Benchmarking Survey. As part of CEFLI's mission to serve the needs of the life insurance industry's compliance and ethics professionals, CEFLI has invited professionals from across the life insurance industry to participate in a variety of Surveys over the past several years to allow CEFLI to gauge the current state of practice with respect to specific compliance and ethics issues in the life insurance industry. The 2015 SARs Survey was designed to capture data associated with the current life insurance industry practices with respect to the submission of SARs within the life insurance industry. This Executive Summary of the Survey summarizes the key findings of CEFLI's 2015 SARs Benchmarking Survey.

Purpose

The purpose of this Survey was to determine the current state of practices with respect to the submission of SARs within the life insurance industry. FinCEN data indicating a substantial 2012 - 2013 increase in overall insurance sector SARs submissions served as the impetus for developing the Survey. The Survey provides a snapshot in time of SARs activity in the life insurance industry since 2012 and establishes a benchmark for analyzing possible trends in SARs submissions that may occur in the life insurance industry over the years ahead. FinCEN reports that SAR submissions in the insurance sector more than quadrupled in 2013 over the prior year.

To gauge current practice, the Survey asked objective questions designed to ascertain if there has been an increase in SARs submissions by respondent life insurance companies, and if so, why such an increase may have occurred. Aspects covered included: the reasons behind any heightened awareness of reporting requirements, activities encountered that may result in the submission of a SAR, and foreign national transactions.



Methodology

To complete its review, CEFLI solicited input from both CEFLI-member life insurance companies as well as non-CEFLI member companies. CEFLI received Survey responses from 77 life insurance companies across a range of company sizes.

Survey respondents were asked to identify the size of their organization based upon the number of overall employees. For purposes of this Survey, small life insurance companies were characterized as organizations with 500 or fewer employees, medium companies were characterized as organizations with 501-10,000 employees, and large companies were characterized as organizations with 10,001-50,000 employees. Survey responses were received from a broad range of companies including large life insurance companies (9%), medium companies (59%), and small companies (32%).

When asked to identify the department or division to which they report, the majority of Survey respondents (61%) answered that they report up to the Compliance Department at their life insurance company. The next largest grouping of Survey respondents (17%) report to the Anti-Money Laundering Department/Division. Other Survey respondents (14%) indicated that they report to the Law Department. Increasingly smaller percentages of Survey respondents responded that they report to Internal Audit, Risk Management, Accounting, Agency, Claims or other specific Business Units.



Key Findings

- 53% of life insurers surveyed reported an increase in SARs submissions in 2013 and beyond.
- Almost a quarter (23%) of respondents, all of which represented medium and large companies, reported an increase in foreign national business over the past two years.
- Responses differed by company size as to the suspected reason for their organization's increase in SARs.
- Companies of different sizes also indicated differing reasons for their organization's heightened awareness of suspicious activity.
- Increased SARs by P&C insurers may be contributing to the significant growth reported by FinCEN.

Summary

CEFLI's 2015 Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs) Benchmarking Survey serves as a way to gauge the current state of practice with respect to the submission of SARs within the life insurance industry. By establishing this benchmark, this information can be used to track possible changes in SARs activity and can be used to analyze possible trends that may occur in SARs submissions on a year-to-year basis.

While FinCEN statistics indicate a significant year-to-year increase in the number of SARs submitted by the overall insurance sector, which rose from 726 SARs filed in 2012 to 3,066 SARs filed in 2013, the Survey found that only a slight majority of respondent life insurance companies of all sizes noted an increase in SARs submissions after 2012.

Companies reporting an increase in the submission of SARs, differed by size in the ranking of possible reasons behind the increase with large insurers indicating a perceived increase in suspicious activity, small insurers indicating a heightened



awareness of reporting requirements, and medium insurers indicating a growth in the scope of what the company interpreted as suspicious behavior as the primary reason for their company's increase.

For those Survey respondents that identified a heightened awareness of reporting requirements as their primary reason for an increase in the submission of SARs, the Survey sought to identify the reasons underlying this heightened awareness. Larger insurers most often identified a growth in their company's interpretation of the scope of what may constitute a suspicious activity as their primary reason for an increase in the submission of SARs. Many life insurers also identified an increase in communications directly from FinCEN as another reason for their increase in the submission of SARs. Smaller insurers were more likely to credit communications from industry organizations and participation in industry conferences as the primary reason for their company's heightened awareness.

As to the types of suspicious activities that might trigger a SAR, a significant number of life insurers reported:

- Experiencing a questionable source of funds.
- Suspicious cash equivalents.
- Questionable disbursements.
- Questionable transactions.

The Survey results also revealed other suspicious activities experienced to a lesser degree, including ID theft, exploitation of seniors, avoidance of BSA record keeping requirements and suspected tax evasion.

The Survey also gathered data concerning life insurers experience with foreign national business. Only 23% of Survey respondents indicated an increase in this foreign national type of business. The majority of companies who accept foreign national business routinely require a social security number or individual tax ID as the minimum form of



verification of identity, while some companies employ additional safeguards or refuse foreign national business altogether.

While the Survey was unable to confirm an actual increase in SARs reporting equal to the over 400% growth calculated by FinCEN for the insurance sector overall, it should be noted that SARs submitted by other insurers, such as property and casualty carriers, are included in this data and may have contributed to the sizeable increase in reporting. Increased SARs by P&C insurers may be contributing to the significant growth reported by FinCEN. Additionally some life insurers who responded to the Survey offered possible factors which may have skewed FinCEN's findings somewhat. These factors included:

- The increased ease of electronic filing of sorts (which has made it possible for companies to file repeat SARs on individuals with a minimum of effort (versus submitting a single report in the past); and
- Recent changes to reporting forms and processes which eliminated voluntary reporting and made it easier to distinguish life insurance company filings from those of other sectors.

CEFLI would like to thank all compliance and ethics professionals from CEFLI Member Companies as well as non-CEFLI Member Companies who responded to the 2015 CEFLI SARs Benchmarking Survey for offering their insights and perspectives. We hope that all Survey respondents find this information to be useful.

CEFLI also would like to thank its Member Companies and Affiliate Members for their financial support of the 2015 CEFLI SARs Benchmarking Survey..

THE FORUM THAT CONNECTS.



**Compliance & Ethics Forum
for Life Insurers**

Bethesda, MD 20814

240.744.3030

info@cefli.org